The German Experience

GAS-EM – comprehensive treatment of emissions and the N₂ problems in manure management

Ulrich Dämmgen, Manfred Lüttich, Hans-Dieter Haenel

Federal Agricultural Research Centre, Institute of Agroecology, Braunschweig, Germany



Modelling of animal excretions in GAS-EM

- Modelling in principle according to IPCC using a mass balance approach reflecting energy and nutrient requirements as a function of animal performance and actual feed composition
- Modelling results in different N excretion rates (faecal and renal) for the relevant animal subcategories and each spatial unit (Federal State, district) and each year
- We are confident that the results obtained reflect the German reality (comparison with measured data).



Modelling of the subsequent N mass flow

- according to D\u00e4mmgen and Hutchings (2007) (or the new Guidebook chapter) chapter using
- national emission factors for NH₃ as often as possible
- EMEP/CORINAIR partial emission factors for NH₃ and NO
- IPCC emission factors for N₂O



Structure of GAS-EM

The mass flow approach for mammals including all nitrogen species



The emission pattern must be similar to the deposition pattern.





Berlin, 11-6-07 87

Treatment of N_2O in the mass flow

- There is no emission factor for N₂O from houses, as these emissions are considered negligible according to IPCC(2006), Chapter 10.5 (absence of oxidized N) (accepted)
- N₂O emission factors relate to N excreted.

We calculate N₂O emissions according to IPCC (differentiation between various storage types) and subtract the amount of N emitted from the TAN pool "TAN after housing".



The basic problem

- N₂O emissions are related to the N input. In principle they should be related to N turnover rather than to N inputs.
- For the application of mineral fertilizer we know:

"The German sites show no correlation between applied N and emitted $N-N_2O...$ " (Jungkunst and Freibauer, 2005).

"N₂O emissions decrease in Europe with increasing N application to cereals" (Kasimir Klemedtsson and Klemedtsson, 2002).

What about manure N?



Treatment of N₂ in the mass flow

- Where there's N₂O there is N₂.
- Where there's a will there is a way.

Attempts have been made to relate NO and N_2 emissions to N_2O emissions. A constant ratio is assumed for liquid and solid animal manures

 $N_2O : NO : N_2 = 1 : 0.1 : 3$

(suggested by Jarvis und Pain, 1994)



Treatment of N₂ in the mass flow

 The treatment of N₂O, NO and N₂ according to IPCC and Jarvis and Pain is satisfactory as long as there are (nearly) no N₂O emissions.

This holds true for liquid slurry without a natural crust only!

 For solid systems in particular, the N₂O emission factor and the derived factors for N₂ and NO are (very) doubtful.



In solid systems, TAN is immobilized when (appropriate?) bedding material is used in the house

- Question: how are Kirchmann and Witter (1989) to be interpreted?
- The (present) German assumption:

If enough straw is supplied, then a constant fraction of TAN is immobilized (40 %).

A variable fraction could also be calculated as a function of the amount of straw added.



However:

Solid manure is mineralized during storage.

- Question: can the dynamics of FYM degradation be reproduced in a model?
- The (present) German calculations assume comparatively high loss rates for NH₃ from solid manure stores.
 Hence, FYM is degraded. N₂O (and N₂) might be emitted simultaneously.



In liquid systems, TAN is immobilized and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{org}}}$ is mineralized.

• Statement:

Apart from the fact that these processes take place, little is known about conditions and dynamics.

 The (present) German calculations assume that 10 % of the TAN present at the beginning of storage are immobilized and

10 % of the N_{org} present at the beginning of storage are mineralized (expert judgement Döhler).



(1) Ban solid systems!

(2) Retire!

(3) Keep EAGER eager!

Initiate, perform and evaluate adequate measurements!



Difficulties:

(1) In Germany, there is hardly any (or: no effective) communication between the Ministries of the Environment and Agriculture with respect to integrated nitrogen.



Difficulties:

(2) In Germany, the number of people in the Ministry of Agriculture who know about integrated nitrogen policies does not exceed 2.



Difficulties:

(3) In Germany, the basic education in science fails to inform people about the fact that there are problems which are not linear (i.e. more complicated than: if A, then B).

This includes policy makers.



Difficulties:

(4) In Germany, reduction of the emissions of reactive nitrogen would result in a "threat" to the quality of life:

No politician who wants to be re-elected will seriously talk about changes in the human diet (less protein: less meat, less sausage, less cheese, ...) or individual mobility.



Suggestions:

Within the Ministry:

(1) replace at least some of the lawyers by knowledgeable people,

(2) accept that facts may be as important as sectoral interests



Suggestions:

Within the society:

(3) Arise public awareness of the fact that the current (mis)use of nitrogen in Central Europe will definitely affect peoples' lifestyle and the potential lifestyle of their children.

